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Abstract

We are presenting a first ever published report in the English literature in a patient presenting with adverse effects of an FDA-banned medicine, Reumofan, which has been marketed to treat rheumatism, joint pain, arthritis and neuralgia. It contains undisclosed traces of dexamethasone, diclofenac and methocarbamol. Some of these adverse effects include hypertension, adrenal insufficiency, gastrointestinal bleeding and sudden death. Our patient presented with progressively worsening edema affecting upper and lower extremities, abdominal wall and face. He was ruled out for venous thrombosis, and his cardiac catheterization and transthoracic echocardiogram exhibited no evidence of heart failure. His edema was successfully treated with diuresis during his hospitalization and on follow-up visit, he was found to be adrenally insufficient and hypotensive on discontinuation of Reumofan. This case illustrates the severe adverse effects that can occur as a result of Reumofan use in a patient using this medicine to treat debilitating joint pain and reinforces the importance of a thorough medication history.
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Introduction

Use of complementary alternative medicines (CAM) remains common, in the range of 33-66\% of clinic patients [1]. Reports suggest that 28\% of the US population is using complementary medicine to relieve pain [2], which comprises out-of-pocket expenditures of over 34 billion dollars per year [3]. However, the mechanism of action of many of these medicines is unknown, and the evidence of their efficacy is limited.

Case Report

A 55-year-old morbidly obese Caucasian gentleman was admitted to the hospital for increasing lower extremity edema. Pertinent past medical history included coronary artery disease, hypertension, obstructive sleep apnea and osteoarthritis. He had been seen in the primary care office 5 days prior to hospital admission and was started on torsemide as well as spironolactone for his edema. The patient noted at least 4 - 5 months of increasing edema, affecting his upper and lower extremities, abdominal wall and face. He had gained 30 pounds in the preceding 3 months without any recent dietary changes. He denies any orthopnea or chest pain, but endorsed some dyspnea on exertion. Home medications included: aspirin, calcium citrate, cyclobenzaprine, fluticasone inhaler, ibuprofen, lisinopril, lovastatin, magnesium, vitamin D, meclizine prn, Percocet prn, spironolactone, torsemide, tamsulosin and amlodipine.

His vital signs were stable with a blood pressure of 144/70, heart rate of 64 and oxygen saturation of 94\% on room air. On physical examination, he was a morbidly obese gentleman with marked edema in his upper and lower extremities as well as his neck and face. Lungs were clear and heart sounds were distant but unremarkable. Abdomen was soft and non-distended. Basic metabolic panel, complete blood count, total protein, albumin, liver function tests, cardiac enzymes and thyroid stimulating hormone were all within normal limits. Brain natriuretic peptide was 20 pg/mL. ECG showed a normal sinus rhythm with a right bundle branch block unchanged from prior as well as no dynamic ST changes. An echocardiogram was poor quality due to body habitus, but had grossly normal ventricular function. Chest X-ray (Fig. 1) and CT chest (Fig. 2) showed no evidence of pulmonary edema, pneumonia, or pulmonary embolism. Venous duplex ruled out acute venous thrombosis.

The patient was started on intravenous furosemide, and on the second day of admission, the patient asked the medi-
cal team for a list of possible etiologies for his edema. When steroids were mentioned as a potential non-cardiac cause, the patient admitted that he was indeed taking a medicine called Reumofan, which he knew reportedly contained a steroid. He had been using Reumofan for several months now which he stated was available from Mexico via the internet. While on this medication, his chronic pain had resolved and his energy had improved.

After an extensive inpatient and outpatient workup, heart failure and low albumin states were effectively ruled out as the etiology of the patient’s edema, which was finally attributed to his use of Reumofan. The patient was diuresed with furosemide and remained in the hospital for a total of 3 days with removal of 2 L of fluid. He asked to be discharged on his third day of hospitalization despite still having significant peripheral edema. He was subsequently discharged on his home regimen of torsemide, which he felt had been effective in the few days prior to admission. He was instructed to follow-up with his primary care physician for tapering of his Reumofan out of concern for adrenal suppression. On follow-up after his hospitalization, he was found to have a morning cortisol level of 5.5 µg/dL with a systolic blood pressure in the 80s. He also underwent a coronary angiography which showed no evidence of obstructive coronary artery disease, normal left ventricular end-diastolic pressure and a calculated left ventricular ejection fraction of 75%. Right atrial pressure was in the upper limit of normal. There was no evidence of either diastolic or systolic dysfunction.

Discussion

Different forms of CAM continue to be an integral component of outpatient as well as inpatient practice and continue to be beyond the reach of regulation to ensure safety and quality. CAM may be dangerous due to adulteration of the product, as in the case of our patient, interactions with prescribed medications, inherent toxicity, or contamination [2]. In one Singaporean study of 627 cases of adverse effects attributed to CAM products, the most common drugs detected in herbal supplements were sildenafil, dexamethasone, N-nitrosofenfluramine, chlorpheniramine, phenylbutazone, glibenclamide, paracetamol, sibutramine, indomethacin and prednisolone [2]. Despite widespread use, it is estimated that 55-69% of older patients do not disclose this information to their primary care physician [4, 5].

Reumofan has been marketed via the internet as a natural nutritional supplement for the treatment of joint pain and arthritis. Adverse effects include nausea, vomiting, fatigue, hypotension, edema, hypertension, hyperglycemia, adrenal insufficiency, gastrointestinal bleeding and sudden death [6, 7]. The FDA has since recognized the significant adverse effects of Reumofan and has banned its sale in the United States. However, it remains accessible over the internet. Reumofan’s adverse effects as well as its pain-relieving effects are attributed to undisclosed active ingredients, diclofenac, dexamethasone and methocarbamol. This report highlights some of the hidden dangers and diagnostic dilemma in the use of readily-available CAM to patients and reinforces the importance of obtaining a thorough medication history including over-the-counter medicines, herbs and nutritional supplements.
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